Biomaterials 102 (2016) 268-276

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biomaterials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biomaterials



Jessica P. Lee ^{a, c}, Elena Kassianidou ^{c, d}, James I. MacDonald ^a, Matthew B. Francis ^{a, b}, Sanjay Kumar ^{c, d, e, *}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^b Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^c Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^d UC Berkeley-UCSF Graduate Program in Bioengineering, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^e Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley CA 94720, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 March 2016 Received in revised form 8 June 2016 Accepted 9 June 2016 Available online 15 June 2016

ABSTRACT

Polyacrylamide hydrogels have been used extensively to study cell responses to the mechanical and biochemical properties of extracellular matrix substrates. A key step in fabricating these substrates is the conjugation of cell adhesion proteins to the polyacrylamide surfaces, which typically involves nonspecifically anchoring these proteins via side-chain functional groups. This can result in a loss of presentation control and altered bioactivity. Here, we describe a new functionalization strategy in which we anchor full-length extracellular matrix proteins to polyacrylamide substrates using 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde, which can be co-polymerized into polyacrylamide gels and used to immobilize proteins by their N-termini. This one-step reaction proceeds under mild aqueous conditions and does not require additional reagents. We demonstrate that these substrates can readily conjugate to various extracellular matrix proteins, as well as promote cell adhesion and spreading. Notably, this chemistry supports the assembly and cellular remodeling of large collagen fibers, which is not observed using conventional side-chain amine-conjugation chemistry.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Cells are sensitive to the mechanical properties of their environment. In particular, the stiffness of the extracellular matrix (ECM) substrate to which cells adhere can affect cell morphology, adhesion, migration and stem cell differentiation [1-6]. To study this behavior in culture, polyacrylamide (PAAm) hydrogels are most commonly used because the compliance of this material can easily be tuned to mimic stiffness values of soft tissues [2-4,7-12]. Additionally, PAAm does not promote protein adsorption or cell adhesion, enabling improved control over substrate functionalization with cell-adhesive ligands [8,10,11].

The functionalization of PAAm with the ECM proteins required for cell adhesion has been achieved using pendant *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) esters that acylate lysine residues [13],

E-mail address: skumar@berkeley.edu (S. Kumar).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.06.022 0142-9612/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. hydrazides that couple to periodate-oxidized glycans on proteins [14], non-covalent adsorption [15], and biotin-streptavidin interactions [16]. Perhaps the most common strategy uses a heterobifunctional crosslinker, sulfo-SANPAH (1, Fig. 1a-c), which inserts into the PAAm backbone following photolysis of the aryl azide moiety [7,8]. The resulting polymer-linked NHS ester is then used to acylate amine groups on proteins. Although widely used, this process can create significant batch-to-batch variability, and the immobilized NHS esters are subject to competitive hydrolysis under protein attachment conditions. Moreover, NHS esters can react with any amines on the proteins, resulting in nonspecific tethering at multiple sites with uncontrollable and unpredictable adhesive ligand presentation (Fig. 1b). The orientation of immobilized ligand has been shown to influence the accessibility of epitopes and affect cell behavior [17]. Furthermore, protein structure and activity may be affected if functionally and structurally important lysine residues are engaged with the surface.

This conjugation approach has also contributed to a major controversy in the field, questioning whether the density of these





Biomaterials

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.



Fig. 1. ECM protein conjugation on polyacrylamide (PAAm) substrates. (a-c) A scheme is shown for ECM protein conjugation using sulfo-SANPAH (1). This reaction targets all available amines, resulting in heterogeneous protein attachment. (d-f) In this work, protein N-termini are coupled to 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde (2PCA)-modified PAAm gels, resulting in a more uniform presentation. (g) A 2PCA derivative for acrylamide copolymerization is shown. Protein N-termini are shown in blue in (b) and (f). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

lateral tethers directly affects cell behavior and function [18-20]. It has been hypothesized that due to smaller pore size, stiffer substrates have shorter distances between surface anchorage points. This reduces the local deformability of these proteins relative to softer substrates, which have comparatively greater surface porosity and longer tethering distances, implying that local tether density controls cell behavior [18]. In contrast, a subsequent study showed that systematically varying PAAm porosity without altering stiffness does not significantly influence protein tethering, substrate deformation, or stem cell differentiation, implying that cells respond to bulk substrate stiffness rather than the degree of protein tethering [19]. Nevertheless, anchoring density was still observed to increase with increasing sulfo-SANPAH crosslinker concentration, complicating data interpretation [19]. Such studies have stimulated strong interest to develop ECM-hydrogel conjugation strategies with predictable and well-controlled attachment chemistry and ligand presentation.

This problem can be addressed by developing immobilization strategies that only anchor the ECM proteins to the hydrogel surface once, thereby ensuring that each protein is tethered predictably and with minimal perturbation of protein structure and function. Site-selective protein immobilization strategies using native cysteine residues, small molecule probes, or peptide fusion tags have been developed for substrates other than PAAm, but they are generally incompatible with commercially-available tissue-purified ECM proteins [21–23]. As a result, there remains a significant need for site-specific strategies to immobilize ECM proteins to hydrogel surfaces for cell culture applications.

We report herein a well-defined immobilization strategy in which native proteins are conjugated to PAAm hydrogels

specifically through their N-termini using recently published 2pyridinecarboxyaldehyde (2PCA) conjugation chemistry (Fig. 1d–f) [24]. We demonstrate that this immobilization strategy is applicable to multiple widely used ECM proteins. Cells readily adhere and spread on these substrates, and despite the presence of only a single protein-hydrogel tethering point, cells recapitulate stiffness-dependent behavior. Moreover, 2PCA-conjugated surfaces remarkably and uniquely support the assembly of attached collagen chains into large fibers, which can be remodeled and bundled by attached cells in a manner reminiscent of collagen remodeling in tissue.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents and instruments

Unless stated otherwise, all reagents and solvents used were of analytical grade and were used as received from commercial sources. Type I bovine collagen (PureCol, Advanced BioMatrix), human plasma fibronectin (Millipore) and mouse laminin (Gibco) were also used as received. PBS, pH 7.4, was purchased from Fisher Scientific.

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVQ-400 spectrometer. ¹H NMR chemical shifts are reported as δ in units of parts per million (ppm) relative to CDCl₃ (δ 7.26). Multiplicities are reported as: s (singlet), br.s (broad singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), dd (doublet of doublets), dt (doublet of triplets) or m (multiplet). Coupling constants are reported as a *J* value in Hertz (Hz). ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are reported as δ in units of parts per million (ppm) relative to CDCl₃ (δ 77.2). High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HR-ESI-MS) data were obtained at the UC Berkeley QB3/Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility.

Epifluorescence images were acquired using an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with a 10× air objective. DIC images were acquired using an inverted Nikon TE2000-E2 microscope equipped with a 60× oil objective. Time-lapse images were acquired every 15 min using an inverted Nikon TE2000-E2 microscope equipped with a 20× air objective. Both microscopes are equipped with a programmable stage and an incubator chamber to maintain constant temperature, humidity and CO₂ levels. High magnification images were acquired using a Prairie Technologies upright swept-field confocal microscope equipped with a 60×1000 .

2.2. ECM protein conjugation with 2PCA-fluorescein in solution

2PCA-fluorescein was synthesized as previously reported [24]. Stock solutions of 50 mM fluorescein (free acid, Sigma) and 2PCAfluorescein were prepared using DMSO (Sigma). A 250 mM stock solution of benzylalkoxyamine (Sigma, CDS001502) was prepared by the addition of an appropriate volume of water and adjustment to pH 7.5 using 5 M NaOH. In a 50 µL reaction, 0.5 mg/mL ECM protein was incubated with 2PCA-fluorescein or fluorescein (final concentration, 5 mM) in 40 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, at 37 °C without agitation. 2PCA-fluorescein was pre-incubated with benzylalkoxyamine (final concentration, 50 mM) for 4 h at 37 °C before the addition of an ECM protein. After 12 h, all samples were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm to remove any precipitate. Collagen and fibronectin samples were purified using a 10 kDa MWCO Amicon Ultra-4 centrifugal filter spin concentrator (Millipore). Laminin samples were purified by acetone precipitation. Samples were analyzed using SDS-PAGE (7.5% Mini-PROTEAN TGX gel, Bio-Rad, or Bolt 8% Bis-Tris Plus gel, Life Technologies), Typhoon 9410 variable mode imager (Amersham Biosciences) before staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (Bio-Rad) and Gel Doc EZ System (Bio-Rad) after staining.

2.3. Synthesis of 2PCA-acrylamide

6-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)-2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde (S5) was synthesized as previously reported [24]. In an oven-dried round bottom flask, 5.5 mmol (1.0 equiv.) of 6-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)-2pyridinecarboxaldehyde (S5) was stirred in 30 mL of dichloromethane. The solution was cooled in an ice-water bath and turned from cloudy to clear upon the addition of triethylamine (Sigma, 1.82 g, 2.5 mL, 18 mmol, 3.3 equiv.). Acryloyl chloride (Sigma, 0.54 g, 487 μL, 6.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was then added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. After evaporation of solvent and triethylamine under reduced pressure, the resulting material was purified by flash chromatography using 1.5%–3% methanol in dichloromethane to afford product as a colorless viscous oil (0.86 g, 60% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ, 9.93 (s, 1H), 7.81–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (dd, J = 16.8, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 2H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 2.48-2.42 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ, 193.4, 165.22, 159.0, 152.2, 137.5, 127.8, 127.4, 127.3, 120.4, 63.7, 53.3, 52.7, 45.6, 41.7. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $C_{14}H_{18}N_3O_2$ ([M+H]⁺) 260.1394, found 260.1388.

2.4. Preparation of 2PCA-PAAm substrates

12 mm #1 circular glass coverslips were plasma cleaned (Harrick Plasma, PDC-32G), then silanized using a solution of 5% v/v acetic acid (Sigma) and 0.3% v/v PlusOne Bind-Silane (GE Healthcare) in ethanol for 3 min at room temperature. The silanized

coverslips were then rinsed with 70% ethanol in water before drying with a Kimwipe. To ease the detachment of polymerized PAAm gels, a flat piece of glass was made hydrophobic by spraying with Rain-X (original glass water repellent) and drying with a Kimwipe. 40% acrylamide and 2% bisacrylamide (Bio-Rad) were combined in different percentages and diluted to the appropriate volume with ultrapure water. A stock solution of 1.12 M 2PCAacrylamide (molar equiv. of 40% acrylamide) was prepared in acetone and diluted in ultrapure water (Invitrogen) to appropriate concentrations before adding to acrylamide/bisacrylamide solutions. Ammonium persulfate (Bio-Rad, 10% stock solution in water, final concentration 0.1%) and tetramethylethylenediamine (Bio-Rad, 1:1000 v/v) were added to the solutions immediately before sandwiching 30 µL of the polymerization solution between Rain-X treated glass and silanized coverslips. After the solution was allowed to polymerize for 15–30 min at room temperature, the gels were removed from the Rain-X treated glass, placed in 24-well plates (Falcon, cat# 353047) and rinsed in PBS for 10 min \times 3 times. Substrates containing 2PCA-acrylamide were directly incubated in 400 µL of 50 µg/mL collagen, 25 µg/mL fibronectin, or 25 μg/mL laminin in PBS at 37 °C for 12–16 h before rinsing in PBS for 10 min \times 3 times and subsequent cell seeding. Substrates without 2PCA-acrylamide were submerged in 0.5 mL of 0.5 mg/mL sulfo-SANPAH (Pierce) in PBS. They were then activated with 8 min UV exposure (350-550 nm, Sunray 400 SM) and rinsed in PBS 5 min \times 3 times before incubating in ECM protein solutions as described above. The pH of ECM protein solutions was always checked and adjusted to pH 7.4, if necessary, prior to incubation with PAAm substrates.

2.5. Mechanical characterization of PAAm hydrogels

Substrates were prepared as described above, with the exception that for each substrate, a 480 μ L solution was polymerized in between and then detached from two Rain-X treated 25 mm glass coverslips. An Anton Paar Physica MCR 301 rheometer with 25-mm parallel plate was used to determine substrate stiffness at 37 °C. The linear regime was determined based on amplitude sweeps over the range c = 0.1–10%. Frequency sweeps at 1% strain over 0.1–20 Hz were recorded to extract storage, loss, and complex moduli. Storage moduli at 0.1 Hz of 0.1% 2PCA-PAAm substrates containing final acrylamide/bisacrylamide (A/B) percentages of 3% A/0.1% B, 4% A/0.075% B, 4% A/0.2% B, 8% A/0.3% B and 15% A/1.2% B were measured to be 0.08, 0.46, 1.2, 5.8, and 12 kPa respectively. At least three independent samples were measured per condition. Note that the stiffness reported here is the storage modulus instead of the Young's modulus.

2.6. Cell line and reagents

U2OS human osteosarcoma cells (ATCC HTB-96) were transduced with RFP-LifeAct to enable live microscopy studies and cell area measurements. Briefly, the immediate early promoter of cytomegalovirus (Pcmv IE) and LifeAct-TagRFP were amplified by polymerase chain reaction from pCMV-LifeAct-TagRFP plasmid (Ibidi) and *Pacl/Eco*RI restriction sites were incorporated at each end. The PCR product was subcloned into pFUG-IP (kindly provided by D.V. Schaffer, University of California, Berkeley, CA) after removal of the hUbC promoter and EGFP by digesting with *Pacl/Eco*RI. Viral particles were packaged in 293T cells and used to infect U2OS cells at a multiplicity of infection of 1.5 IU/cell. Cells expressing the vectors were sorted on a DAKO-Cytomation MoFlo High Speed Sorter based on RFP fluorescence. Cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, cat# 11965) with 10% fetal bovine serum (JR Scientific), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% MEM non-essential amino acids solution (Life Technologies Corporation) in a 37 $^{\circ}$ C incubator in the presence of 5% CO₂. U2OS cells stably transduced with RFP-LifeAct were used in all cell experiments.

2.7. Cell spreading experiments

Trypsinized U2OS RFP-LifeAct cells were seeded on substrates at a density of 9,000 cells/cm² in 0.5 mL of serum-containing culture medium described above. Cells were allowed to adhere and spread for 2 h before removal of non-adhered cells by aspiration. Fresh culture medium was added, and live cells were imaged 3–5 h after seeding. At least 5 fields of view were acquired per substrate. Using ImageJ, projected cell area was determined based on Lifeact-RFP signal.

2.8. Immunofluorescence staining

For immunostaining, all reagents were diluted in PBS. After fixing with 4% paraformaldehyde, cells were washed twice with PBS and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton-X 100 (EMD Biosciences) in 5% goat serum (Gibco) for 15 min at room temperature. Cells were rinsed twice and blocked in 5% goat serum for 45 min at room temperature. Cells were then stained for focal adhesions using mouse monoclonal anti-vinculin IgG (Sigma, V9131, 1:200 dilution) in 1% goat serum overnight at 4 °C. After rinsing in 1% goat serum twice, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 633 labeled goat antimouse IgG (ThermoFisher, A21052, 1:400 dilution) in 1% goat serum for 1 h at room temperature. Finally, F-actin and the nucleus were stained with Alexa Fluor 546 conjugated phalloidin (Thermo Fisher, A22283, 1:200 dilution) and DAPI (ThermoFisher, 2.5 μ g/mL) for 20 min at room temperature.

2.9. Characterization of available surface ligands

To label collagen with a fluorophore, 1 mL of 3 mg/mL type I collagen was mixed with 9 mL of 0.1 M carbonate buffer, pH 9.2, and 13 µL of 26 mM Oregon Green 488 carboxylic acid, succinimidyl ester (Molecular Probes, stock solution prepared in DMF). The collagen solution was kept at 4 °C at all times to prevent gelation. The reaction was placed on a rotator for 14 h at 4 °C before using a 10 kDa MWCO Amicon Ultra-4 centrifugal filter spin concentrator (Millipore) to remove unconjugated fluorophore and exchange into 0.01 N HCl. Oregon Green-labeled collagen (OG-Col) was further purified using a size exclusion column (NAP-10, GE Healthcare). Based on a BCA assay and absorbance at 488 nm, the extent of labeling was estimated to be 4 fluorophores per collagen monomer on average. 2PCA-acrylamide containing substrates were incubated with 50 µg/mL total collagen (1:4, Oregon Green labeled: unlabeled) as described above. As control experiments, 2PCA-PAAm substrates were treated with 10 mM piperazine-2PCA (S5) during OG-Col incubation or preincubated with 40 mM benzylalkoxyamine in 50 mM acetate buffer, pH 5.0, for 20-24 h before OG-Col immobilization. After rinsing, U2OS RFP-LifeAct cells were seeded onto the substrates and allowed to adhere for 3 h before acquiring fluorescence images. To ensure unbiased determination of focal planes, the cell-material interface was first identified using brightfield imaging. Fluorescence images were processed using rolling ball background subtraction and overall fluorescence was quantified with ImageJ software (NIH).

2.10. Scanning electron microscopy

PAAm substrates were prepared as described above, except that for each substrate, a 10 μ L solution was polymerized in between a 5 mm Rain-X treated coverslip and silicon wafers pre-treated with

hydrophobic solution (OMS OptoChemicals). After overnight incubation at 37 °C in 50 μ g/mL collagen, the hydrogel substrates were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde for 1 h, rinsed in buffer (10 min \times 3 times), then incubated in 1% osmium tetroxide for 1 h at room temperature in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer at pH 7.2. After rinsing again in buffer (5 min \times 3 times), the samples were dehydrated in ethanol, dried using the critical-point technique (Auto-Samdri 815, Tousimis), and sputter-coated with approximately 20 nm of gold and palladium (Tousimis) before acquiring images using a Hitachi S-5000 scanning electron microscope.

2.11. Statistical analysis

All images and data are representative of the results of at least three or more independent biological experiments. Data are reported as mean \pm SEM unless stated otherwise. Statistical significance was determined using one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey multiple comparisons test. Student's unpaired *t*-test was used if statistical comparisons were made between two sets of data. The significance level was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Conjugation of 2PCA to ECM proteins

Our approach for site-specifically immobilizing ECM proteins to PAAm surfaces uses a recently reported one-step N-terminal specific modification of native proteins with 2pyridinecarboxyaldehyde (2PCA) derivatives (Fig. 1d–f) [24]. This reaction involves the formation of cyclic imidazolidinone product 3 through the addition of an immediately adjacent amide N-H group to imine intermediate 2 (Fig. 1d). Importantly, this cyclization cannot occur when 2PCA imines are formed with lysine side chain amines, confining the reaction to the N-terminal position. In previous work, proteolytic digest and MS/MS analyses have confirmed the N-terminal specificity of the reaction [24]. This site-selective reaction is expected to minimize disruption of biologically active motifs and improve presentation (Fig. 1f). This is in contrast to conventional conjugation chemistry using sulfo-SANPAH crosslinker, which conjugates matrix proteins to PAAm surfaces via sidechain lysines.

Prior to using 2PCA for ECM protein-PAAm conjugation, we first assessed the ability of 2PCA to conjugate to the N-termini of commonly used ECM proteins by incubating fluorescein labeled 2PCA (2PCA-fluorescein) with type I collagen, fibronectin and laminin. We verified by SDS-PAGE and fluorescent imaging that 2PCA-fluorescein was indeed covalently conjugated to all three ECM proteins (Fig. 2). To test whether the conjugation occurs through the 2PCA moiety, benzylalkoxyamine was used to quench the aldehyde of 2PCA through oxime formation. ECM proteins showed greatly reduced fluorescence when excess benzylalkoxyamine was added. Incubation with non-functionalized fluorescein also showed minimal fluorescence, suggesting little nonspecifc binding of fluorescein (Fig. 2b,d,f, indicated by arrows). Together with our earlier work [24], these results support the use of 2PCA to functionalize these ECM proteins specifically at their N-terminal positions.

3.2. Mechanical properties of 2PCA-PAAm hydrogels

Having demonstrated that 2PCA can modify the N-termini of ECM proteins, we next asked whether we could use this technique for ECM-PAAm immobilization. We decided to directly incorporate 2PCA into the growing PAAm chain during hydrogel polymerization and crosslinking (Fig. 1a) to provide precise control over total 2PCA



Fig. 2. 2PCA conjugation of ECM proteins in solution. The N-terminal modification of type I collagen (a, b), fibronectin (c, d) and laminin (e, f) with 2PCA-fluorescein was monitored by SDS-PAGE. SDS-PAGE gels were fluorescently imaged (b, d, f) to visualize conjugated products and Coomassie stained (a, c, e) to show total protein content. Benzylalkoxyamine (benzyl-ONH₂) and fluorescein were used as controls, show minimal fluorescence. Arrows indicate corresponding ECM protein subunits.

density, with even surface coverage. We therefore synthesized a 2PCA-acrylamide (**4**, Fig. 1g) by coupling piperazine-2PCA derivative **S5** and acryloyl chloride (Fig. S1). We then added a small amount of the 2PCA-acrylamide monomer into the usual acrylamide/bisacrylamide mixture before polymerization (at 0.1% mole fraction of the acrylamide monomer content). From a solvent screen, we identified that a minimal amount (< 0.1% v/v) of acetone could solubilize 2PCA-acrylamide while keeping the PAAm substrate clear and uniform. Rheological measurements revealed that PAAm hydrogels polymerized with and without 0.1% 2PCA-acrylamide have statistically indistinguishable storage moduli, demonstrating that the presence of 0.1% 2PCA does not alter the bulk stiffness. Additionally, the storage moduli of PAAm hydrogels increased with acrylamide and/or bisacrylamide content whether or not 2PCA was incorporated (Fig. 3 and S2).

3.3. Cell response to ECM protein density on 2PCA-PAAm substrates

We used U2OS RFP-LifeAct cells as a model system to characterize our substrates, as their adhesion and motility are highly sensitive to substrate stiffness and adhesivity [25]. Since the extent of U2OS RFP-LifeAct cell spreading correlates with adhesion protein density, we asked whether we could recapitulate this relationship with 2PCA-based immobilization (Fig. 4). We studied changes in U2OS morphology on collagen-, fibronectin- and lamininconjugated PAAm substrates as a function of 2PCA-acrylamide



Fig. 3. Storage modulus of PAAm substrates with varying acrylamide and bisacrylamide content. For 0.1% 2PCA substrates, 0.1% mole fraction of 2PCA-acrylamide was added relative to acrylamide monomer content. Bars represent mean \pm 95% confidence intervals for $N \geq 3$ gels per condition. Student's unpaired *t* tests of gels with the same acrylamide and bisacrylamide content show no significant difference in storage moduli between PAAm substrates containing 0% and 0.1% 2PCA-acrylamide.

content (which is expected to control the amount of immobilized protein) at a constant stiffness of 5.8 kPa. In the absence of 2PCA, cells were poorly adherent and rounded (Fig. 4, red bars and PAAm images), as PAAm substrates do not support passive adsorption of adhesion proteins [8,10,11]. We next attempted to conjugate the three ECM proteins to 2PCA-PAAm gel surfaces over a range of 2PCA concentrations. For all three proteins, U2OS RFP-LifeAct spread area increased with 2PCA content, which suggests that higher 2PCA content leads to higher density of immobilized ECM proteins (Fig. 4). We did not observe any gross evidence of cell death or other toxicity for U2OS RFP-LifeAct cells cultured on 2PCA-PAAm substrates for periods of > 48 h. Because U2OS RFP-LifeAct cells spread well on 0.01% 2PCA-PAAm substrates conjugated with all three ECM proteins, we chose this formulation for use in subsequent studies.

3.4. Cell response to substrate stiffness

Many cell types, including U2OS cells, increase adhesion and spread area with increases in ECM stiffness [2,3,7,25–28]. As an additional proof of principle, we next asked whether cells respond to substrate stiffness when adhesive ligands are end-tethered on the 2PCA-PAAm substrates. We observed greater cell spreading on 2PCA-PAAm substrates relative to non-functionalized PAAm, which further confirms that all three ECM proteins are immobilized on the gel surface through 2PCA. U2OS RFP-LifeAct spreading also dramatically increased with increasing 2PCA-PAAm stiffness, with cells rounded on soft substrates and extensively spread on stiff substrates (Fig. 5a-c). Cells on stiff substrates showed discrete and elongated focal adhesions, which became progressively smaller and more diffuse on softer substrates (Fig. 5d-f), recapitulating the behavior of U2OS cells cultured on alginate substrates [25]. Thus, 2PCA-PAAm substrates support the expected rigidity-dependent spreading and adhesion seen on more conventional materials.

3.5. Collagen fiber formation on 2PCA-PAAm substrates

Of the three matrix proteins considered, collagen is of particular interest because of its high abundance in tissue and ability to self-assemble into a remarkable hierarchy of bioactive higher-order structures, including sheets and bundles [29–31]. Whereas previous studies have shown that monomeric collagen directly deposited or adsorbed onto rigid surfaces can nucleate the assembly of fibrils and small fibers [32,33], such structures are in general not



Fig. 4. Dependence of U2OS RFP-LifeAct cell spreading on PAAm substrates containing variable amounts of 2PCA-acrylamide. Quantification (a–c) and representative fields of view (d–f) are shown for U2OS spreading (green = LifeAct) on 5.8 kPa PAAm substrates. Bars represent mean \pm SEM; *, p < 0.05 with respect to PAAm; $N \ge 144$, 65 and 106 cells per condition for collagen, fibronectin and laminin, respectively. Scale bars = 100 μ m. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

observed when collagen is covalently conjugated to PAAm hydrogels using sulfo-SANPAH [34]. A possible explanation is that lysine residues required for triple helix formation (e.g., through interchain salt bridges) are blocked in conjugation [35,36]. Moreover, one might anticipate that laterally immobilizing collagen on the hydrogel surface could preclude interchain assembly. In contrast, 2PCA conjugation chemistry, which targets only the N-terminal amine rather than internal lysines and enables the rest of the chain to protrude freely into solution, would circumvent both of these issues. This raises the intriguing possibility that 2PCA-mediated conjugation could support or facilitate collagen fiber assembly.

To investigate, we fluorescently labeled type I collagen with

Oregon Green NHS ester at low stoichiometry (OG-Col), leaving most amines free for subsequent conjugation (N-terminal amine for 2PCA; N-terminal amine plus internal lysines for sulfo-SANPAH). We then incubated 2PCA-PAAm substrates with OG-Col for covalent conjugation. Fluorescence images revealed the formation of fiber-like structures on the hydrogel surface, with the number and intensity of these structures increasing as the 2PCAacrylamide content of the substrates increased, suggesting controlled 2PCA-dependent immobilization of collagen (Fig. 6a–c). The presence of more fiber bundles on PAAm substrates with higher 2PCA content is indirect evidence of immobilization of structurally unperturbed collagen on the substrate.



Fig. 5. Influence of substrate rigidity on the spreading of U2OS RFP-LifeAct cells cultured on PAAm gels with 0.01% 2PCA-acrylamide. (a-c) Cell spreading was quantified after U2OS cells were seeded on PAAm substrates conjugated with collagen (a), fibronectin (b) and laminin (c). Bars represent mean \pm SEM; *, p < 0.05 with respect to PAAm of corresponding stiffness; $N \ge 122$, 90 and 65 cells per condition for collagen, fibronectin and laminin, respectively, (d-f) High-magnification images of representative U2OS cells cultured on 0.01% 2PCA-PAAm substrates conjugated with collagen (d), fibronectin (e) and laminin (f), and subsequently stained for the focal adhesion protein vinculin (red), F-actin (green), and nuclear DNA (blue). Cell adhesion on 0.08 kPa and 1.2 kPa substrates conjugated with laminin were too weak for staining. Scale bars = 20 μ m. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

To verify that collagen was conjugated to the PAAm substrate via the 2PCA moiety rather than noncovalently adsorbed, we conducted two control experiments using (1) excess benzylalkoxyamine to quench the aldehyde group of 2PCA prior to incubation in the collagen solution; and (2) excess 2PCA to block the N-terminus of collagen competitively during incubation in the collagen solution (Fig. 6b,c). Both control surfaces showed reduced fluorescence relative to samples without excess benzylalkoxyamine or 2PCA treatment. The overall fluorescence of control substrates was comparable to that of PAAm substrates without 2PCA. Together, these experiments confirmed that collagen was covalently tethered to 2PCA-PAAm gels through the 2PCA moiety.

Collagen fiber bundles were absent when 2PCA was omitted from the preparation (Fig. 6a, far left) or when OG-Col was conjugated via sulfo-SANPAH to the PAAm surface (Fig. 6a, far right). Fluorescence was not able to quantify the amount of monomeric collagen on the substrates, which is in agreement with previous reports of fluorescent quantification of collagen density on sulfo-SANPAH activated PAAm substrates [19]. To verify fiber formation independent of fluorescence, we performed scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy on unlabeled surfaces, which confirmed the abundance of fibrous structures on the 2PCA-PAAm surfaces. The mean diameter of the collagen fibers is 117 nm (σ = 18 nm), and they span tens of microns (Fig. 6d,e and S3). In contrast, these features were not found on sulfo-SANPAH activated surfaces (Fig. 6d,e).

Notably, despite the lack of observable collagen fibers, U2OS RFP-LifeAct cells were able to adhere, spread and migrate on sulfo-SANPAH activated substrates (Fig. 6f and Supplemental movie 1). This is expected, since several studies have shown that cells can

spread and migrate on substrates coated in denatured collagen [32–34]. Presumably, however, such surfaces would not easily allow cellular deformation and remodeling of the fibers, which is key to many physiologically critical process including tissue compaction, wound healing and migration [29,37–39]. Indeed, time-lapse fluorescence imaging of cells cultured on 2PCA-PAAm substrates with fluorescently labeled collagen fibers revealed that cells interact and remodel fibers (Fig. 6f and Supplemental movie 2). Such remodeling events were not observed on surfaces in which collagen was immobilized by sulfo-SANPAH. Thus, 2PCA-PAAm surfaces have the distinct ability to study stiffness-dependent behavior while at the same time presenting the adhesive moiety as a "living" surface that mimics tissue presentation and allows cellular remodeling.

Supplementary data related to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.06.022.video 1. video 2.

4. Conclusion

We have developed a strategy to end-tether full-length ECM proteins to hydrogel surfaces using 2PCA-mediated N-terminal conjugation. This approach recapitulates widely observed relationships between adhesive ligand density, ECM stiffness, and cell spreading, while uniquely allowing the assembly of collagen fibers that cells can remodel as they migrate. Importantly, this conjugation chemistry eliminates multiple covalent anchoring points on the ECM proteins, thereby fully decoupling the protein lateral tether density from bulk ECM stiffness – a major concern in the preparation of such substrates. In that context, it is notable that we



Fig. 6. Collagen fiber assembly on PAAm substrates. (a) Representative fluorescence images are shown for OG-Col immobilized on 5.8 kPa PAAm gels with various 2PCA-acrylamide content. Scale bars = 100 μ m. The reaction was analyzed by fluorescence intensity of OG-Col immobilized on substrates with or without 10 mM piperazine-2PCA (**S5**) (b) and 40 mM benzylalkoxyamine (benzyl-ONH₂) treatment (c). The fluorescence intensity of 0% 2PCA-PAAm without excess 2PCA or benzylalkoxyamine treatment (F₀) was subtracted from the fluorescence intensity (F) of the individual samples. Bars represent mean \pm SEM; *, *p* < 0.05 with respect to PAAm of corresponding 2PCA content; *N* \geq 6 gels per condition, (d) Representative SEM (d) and DIC (e) images are shown for 50 µg/mL unlabeled collagen immobilized on 5.8 kPa 0.01% 2PCA-PAAm gels or sulfo-SANPAH activated PAAm gels. SEM scale bars = 0.5 µm. DIC scale bars = 20 µm. DIC inset scale bars = 4 µm. (f) Movie stills showing U2OS RFP-LifeAct behavior on OG-Col immobilized PAAm substrates. 50 µg/mL collagen was conjugated to 5.8 kPa 0.001% 2PCA-PAAm or sulfo-SANPAH activated PAAm before U2OS cell seeding (t = 0). PAAm with low 2PCA content is shown to highlight interactions between the cells and collagen fibers. Fluorescence time-lapse imaging of LifeAct-tag RFP (red) and OG-Col (green) started 7 h after cell seeding. Fluorescence contrast of sulfo-SANPAH activated PAAm substrate is enhanced to show the lack of fiber formation. Time stamp is in hours:minutes. Scale bars = 20 µm. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

observe strong stiffness-dependent behavior on 2PCA-conjugated PAAm substrates, despite the fact that the protein is anchored at a single point. This is consistent with the notion that ECM stiffness regulates cell adhesion and spreading independently of tether density [19]. Irrespective of this interpretation, we expect that this strategy should provide a useful alternative to traditional conjugation chemistries and may be used to affix a broad variety of proteins and peptides to hydrogel surfaces for both basic and translational investigations.

Acknowledgements

SK acknowledges the support of the DOD (W911NF-09-1-0507), the NIH (R21EB016359, R21CA174573), and the NSF (CMMI 1105539). MBF acknowledges the NSF (CHE 1413666) for research support. JPL and JIM were supported by the Berkeley Chemical Biology Graduate Program (NIH Training Grant 1 T32 GMO66698). JPL was supported by the Croucher Foundation Scholarship. EK was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute International Student Fellowship. The authors acknowledge D.V. Schaffer for reagent and equipment sharing, as well as the CIRM/QB3 Stem Cell Shared Facility for confocal microscopy access.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.06.022.

References

 D.E. Discher, P. Janmey, Y.L. Wang, Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate, Science 310 (2005) 1139–1143.

- [2] A. Engler, L. Bacakova, C. Newman, A. Hategan, M. Griffin, D. Discher, Substrate compliance versus ligand density in cell on gel responses, Biophys. J. 86 (2004) 617–628.
- [3] T.A. Ulrich, E.M. de Juan Pardo, S. Kumar, The mechanical rigidity of the extracellular matrix regulates the structure, motility, and proliferation of glioma cells, Cancer Res. 69 (2009) 4167–4174.
- [4] A.J. Keung, E.M. de Juan-Pardo, D.V. Schaffer, S. Kumar, Rho GTPases mediate the mechanosensitive lineage commitment of neural stem cells, Stem Cells 29 (2011) 1886–1897.
- [5] A.J. Keung, M. Dong, D.V. Schaffer, S. Kumar, Pan-neuronal maturation but not neuronal subtype differentiation of adult neural stem cells is mechanosensitive, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 1817.
- [6] M. Ahearne, Introduction to cell-hydrogel mechanosensing, Interface Focus 4 (2014) 2.
- [7] R.J. Pelham Jr., Y. Wang, Cell locomotion and focal adhesions are regulated by substrate flexibility, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 94 (1997) 13661–13665.
- [8] J.R. Tse, A.J. Engler, Preparation of hydrogel substrates with tunable mechanical properties, Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol. 47 (2010), 10.16.1–10.16.16.
- [9] I. Levental, P.C. Georges, P.A. Janmey, Soft biological materials and their impact on cell function, Soft Matter 3 (2007) 299–306.
- [10] P.C. Georges, P.A. Janmey, Cell type-specific response to growth on soft materials, J. Appl. Physiol. 98 (2005) 1547–1553.
- [11] V. Gribova, T. Crouzier, C. Picart, A material's point of view on recent developments of polymeric biomaterials: control of mechanical and biochemical properties, J. Mater. Chem. 21 (2011) 14354–14366.
- [12] C.E. Kandow, P.C. Georges, P.A. Janmey, K.A. Beningo, Polyacrylamide hydrogels for cell mechanics: steps toward optimization and alternative uses, Methods Cell Biol. 83 (2007) 29–46.
- [13] D.D. Pless, Y.C. Lee, S. Roseman, R.L. Schnaar, Specific cell-adhesion to immobilized glycoproteins demonstrated using new reagents for protein and glycoprotein immobilization, J. Biol. Chem. 258 (1983) 2340–2349.
- [14] V. Damljanovic, B.C. Lagerholm, K. Jacobson, Bulk and micropatterned conjugation of extracellular matrix proteins to characterized polyacrylamide substrates for cell mechanotransduction assays, Biotechniques 39 (2005) 847–851.
- [15] T. Grevesse, M. Versaevel, G. Circelli, S. Desprez, S. Gabriele, A simple route to functionalize polyacrylamide hydrogels for the independent tuning of mechanotransduction cues, Lab. Chip 13 (2013) 777–780.
- [16] M.R. Hynd, J.P. Frampton, N. Dowell-Mesfin, J.N. Turner, W. Shain, Directed cell growth on protein-functionalized hydrogel surfaces, J. Neurosci. Methods 162 (2007) 255–263.
- [17] A.J. Garcia, M.D. Vega, D. Boettiger, Modulation of cell proliferation and

differentiation through substrate-dependent changes in fibronectin conformation, Mol. Biol. Cell 10 (1999) 785–798.

- [18] B. Trappmann, J.E. Gautrot, J.T. Connelly, D.G. Strange, Y. Li, M.L. Oyen, M.A. Cohen Stuart, H. Boehm, B. Li, V. Vogel, J.P. Spatz, F.M. Watt, W.T. Huck, Extracellular-matrix tethering regulates stem-cell fate, Nat. Mater. 11 (2012) 642–649.
- [19] J.H. Wen, L.G. Vincent, A. Fuhrmann, Y.S. Choi, K.C. Hribar, H. Taylor-Weiner, S. Chen, A.J. Engler, Interplay of matrix stiffness and protein tethering in stem cell differentiation, Nat. Mater. 13 (2014) 979–987.
- [20] S. Kumar, Cellular mechanotransduction: stiffness does matter, Nat. Mater. 13 (2014) 918–920.
- [21] Y.X. Chen, G. Triola, H. Waldmann, Bioorthogonal chemistry for site-specific labeling and surface immobilization of proteins, Acc. Chem. Res. 44 (2011) 762–773.
- [22] L.S. Wong, F. Khan, J. Micklefield, Selective covalent protein immobilization: strategies and applications, Chem. Rev. 109 (2009) 4025–4053.
- M. Bhagawati, S. Kumar, Biofunctionalization of hydrogels for engineering the cellular microenvironment, in: J. Karp, W. Zhao (Eds.), Micro- and Nano-engineering of the Cell Surface, William Andrew, Oxford, 2014, pp. 315–348.
 J.I. MacDonald, H.K. Munch, T. Moore, M.B. Francis, One-step site-specific
- [24] J.I. MacDonald, H.K. Munch, T. Moore, M.B. Francis, One-step site-specific modification of native proteins with 2-pyridinecarboxyaldehydes, Nat. Chem. Biol. 11 (2015) 326–331.
- [25] O. Chaudhuri, L. Gu, M. Darnell, D. Klumpers, S.A. Bencherif, J.C. Weaver, N. Huebsch, D.J. Mooney, Substrate stress relaxation regulates cell spreading, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 6364.
- [26] A. Pathak, S. Kumar, Independent regulation of tumor cell migration by matrix stiffness and confinement, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109 (2012) 10334–10339.
- [27] Y. Kim, S. Kumar, CD44-mediated adhesion to hyaluronic acid contributes to mechanosensing and invasive motility, Mol. Cancer Res. 12 (2014) 1416–1429.
- [28] A.D. Rape, M. Zibinsky, N. Murthy, S. Kumar, A synthetic hydrogel for the high-

throughput study of cell-ECM interactions, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 8129.

- [29] T.A. Ulrich, A. Jain, K. Tanner, J.L. MacKay, S. Kumar, Probing cellular mechanobiology in three-dimensional culture with collagen-agarose matrices, Biomaterials 31 (2010) 1875–1884.
- [30] A. Pathak, S. Kumar, Transforming potential and matrix stiffness co-regulate confinement sensitivity of tumor cell migration, Integr. Biol. 5 (2013) 1067–1075.
- [31] S.F. Badylak, Regenerative medicine and developmental biology: the role of the extracellular matrix, Anat. Rec. 287B (2005) 36–41.
- [32] J.T. Elliott, A. Tona, J.T. Woodward, P.L. Jones, A.L. Plant, Thin films of collagen affect smooth muscle cell morphology, Langmuir 19 (2003) 1506–1514.
 [33] D.P. McDaniel, G.A. Shaw, J.T. Elliott, K. Bhadriraju, C. Meuse, K.H. Chung,
- [33] D.P. McDaniel, G.A. Shaw, J.T. Elliott, K. Bhadriraju, C. Meuse, K.H. Chung, A.L. Plant, The stiffness of collagen fibrils influences vascular smooth muscle cell phenotype, Biophys. J. 92 (2007) 1759–1769.
- [34] P.C.D.P. Dingal, A.M. Bradshaw, S. Cho, M. Raab, A. Buxboim, J. Swift, D.E. Discher, Fractal heterogeneity in minimal matrix models of scars modulates stiff-niche stem-cell responses via nuclear exit of a mechanorepressor, Nat. Mater. 14 (2015) 951–960.
- [35] A.V. Persikov, J.A.M. Ramshaw, A. Kirkpatrick, B. Brodsky, Electrostatic interactions involving lysine make major contributions to collagen triple-helix stability, Biochemistry 44 (2005) 1414–1422.
- [36] T. Gurry, P.S. Nerenberg, C.M. Stultz, The contribution of interchain salt bridges to triple-helical stability in collagen, Biophys. J. 98 (2010) 2634–2643.
- [37] T.A. Ulrich, T.G. Lee, H.K. Shon, D.W. Moon, S. Kumar, Microscale mechanisms of agarose-induced disruption of collagen remodeling, Biomaterials 32 (2011) 5633–5642.
- [38] P. Lu, K. Takai, V.M. Weaver, Z. Werb, Extracellular matrix degradation and remodeling in development and disease, Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 3 (2011).
- [39] D.H. Kim, P.P. Provenzano, C.L. Smith, A. Levchenko, Matrix nanotopography as a regulator of cell function, J. Cell Biol. 197 (2012) 351–360.