Dynamics of Mechanosensitive Neural Stem Cell Differentiation
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ABSTRACT

Stem cell differentiation can be highly sensitive to mechanical inputs from the extracellular matrix (ECM). Identifying temporal windows during which lineage commitment responds to ECM stiffness, and the signals that mediate these decisions, would advance both mechanistic insights and translational efforts. To address these questions, we investigate adult neural stem cell (NSC) fate commitment using an oligonucleotide-crosslinked ECM platform that for the first time offers dynamic and reversible control of stiffness. “Stiffness pulse” studies in which the ECM was transiently or permanently softened or stiffened at specified initiation times and durations pinpoint a 24-hour window in which ECM stiffness maximally impacts neurogenic commitment. Overexpression of the transcriptional coactivator Yes-associated protein (YAP) within this window suppressed neurogenesis, and silencing YAP enhanced it. Moreover, ablating YAP-β-catenin interaction rescued neurogenesis. This work reveals that ECM stiffness dictates NSC lineage commitment by signaling via a YAP and β-catenin interaction during a defined temporal window. Stem Cells 2017;35:497–506

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Stem cells are often highly sensitive to mechanical inputs from their underlying material matrix. We innovate upon a synthetic extracellular matrix platform to allow dynamic, reversible switching of substrate elasticity in order to understand the temporal dynamics of this mechanosensing. We find that neural stem cells are mechanosensitive between 12 and 36 hours of receiving chemical differentiation cues, and show that mechanosensitive fate determination is mediated by interactions between Yes-associated protein 1 and β-catenin. The use of a novel switchable material therefore enabled advances in our basic understanding of the molecular mechanisms of stem cell mechanoregulation.

INTRODUCTION

Stem cell self-renewal and differentiation are tightly controlled by the cellular microenvironment or “niche,” which presents a spectrum of soluble and immobilized biochemical signals and biophysical cues [1]. Among this latter class of inputs, mechanical cues encoded in the extracellular matrix (ECM) are increasingly recognized as important regulators of lineage commitment in many types of stem cells [2]. For example, we previously showed that ECM stiffness variations in the range of 1–10 kPa strongly bias the neuronal versus astrocytic differentiation of adult neural stem cells (NSCs) [3, 4]. These findings are important both in designing material scaffolds for biomedical applications and for our understanding of NSC biology in vivo, where hippocampal NSCs are exposed to anatomic stiffness gradients as they migrate into the dentate gyrus and undergo neuronal differentiation and maturation [1, 5].

Despite several recent advances, much remains unknown about the molecular mechanisms that connect mechanical inputs to stem cell differentiation. As a prominent example, cells typically sense ECM stiffness cues over seconds to minutes [6], yet it is unclear over what time scales stem cells integrate these cues and execute lineage commitment decisions that only fully declare themselves with changes in marker expression and cellular function days to weeks later. For instance, we recently showed that NSCs adapt their intrinsic mechanical properties to those of the ECM within 12 hours of seeding and that transient pharmacological inhibition of mechanosensing pathways can abrogate downstream marker
expression and differentiation that occurs 4-6 days after adhesion [4]. Similarly, traction forces generated by human mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) within 12 hours of adhesion can predict lineage specification measured 14 days later. Consistent with such observations, studies with photoactive materials that can be either irreversibly softened [7] or stiffened [8] have revealed that the influence of ECM stiffness on MSC differentiation depends strongly on the initial duration of exposure to the stiffness cue. These approaches have introduced the concept that stem cells may possess “mechanical memory,” such that initial exposure to instructive ECM stiffnesses for critical lengths of time shifts differentiation programs even when the ECM is subsequently softened [9].

Collectively, these observations raise the possibility that stem cells may be maximally primed within some temporal window to receive, remember, and act upon ECM stiffness inputs, and that a “point of no return” may exist beyond which stem cells no longer respond to these signals. However, a key limitation to definitively identifying the beginning and end points of these windows, and the molecular mechanisms that act therein, is the lack of a single ECM platform that can be reversibly stiffened or softened on cue. The absence of such materials represents a broad and important unmet need in the fields of stem cell engineering and mechanobiology.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Culture**

Adult rat NSCs were cultured as described previously [3, 10]. Briefly, cells were cultured in DMEM-F12 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) + N2 Supplement (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA) and 20 ng/mL FGF-2 on laminin-coated polystyrene plates. For differentiation experiments, cells were cultured in mixed differentiation medium (DMEM-F12 + N2, 1 μg/ml retinoic acid, 1% fetal bovine serum) if not otherwise noted.

**Polyacrylamide Hydrogels**

Nonswitchable polyacrylamide (PA) hydrogels were produced as described previously [4]. Briefly, acrylamide and bisacrylamide precursor solutions were degassed by nitrogen bubbling, and polymerized onto PlusOne Bind-Silane (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania, USA). treated glass coverslips with 0.1% ammonium persulfate and tetramethylethylenediamine. DNA gels were produced using sidearm and linker sequences as previously described [11–13]. The polymerization method was slightly optimized compared to the previously published method: Both sidearm sequences were added into the polymerization mix, and the solution was allowed to polymerize under degassing sonication for 15 minutes, before being mixed with linker DNA strand and pipetted onto Bind-Silane activated glass coverslips.

DNA/bis-crosslinked hydrogels were produced by adding additional acrylamide, bis-acrylamide, and APS/TEMED to the freshly combined DNA-acrydite-acrylamide polymer (final composition: 3.13% acrylamide and 0.008% bis reacted with 1mM hybridized SA1 + SA2 + L). For all gels, laminin functionalization was achieved by incubating gels in 50 μg/ml sulfo-SANPAH and irradiating with a UV flood lamp for 8 minutes. The gels were then washed once in PBS, and incubated with 20 μg/ml full length mouse laminin (EHS sarcoma derived) for 24 hours at 4°C.

**Rheological Characterization**

Rheological characterization of all hydrogels was performed using an Anton Paar (Ashland, Virginia, USA) Physica MCR 301 rheometer with an 8 mm parallel plate geometry. The solvent trap around the sample location was filled with water to prevent sample dehydration. The temperature of the sample was controlled by a Peltier element (Anton Paar).

**Atomic Force Microscopy**

Atomic force microscopy was performed on a Veeco (Bruker, Corporation, Camarillo, California, USA) Catalyst Bioscope instrument. All measurements of gel properties were done at room temperature in cell culture medium (DMEM-F12). The deflection sensitivity of each MLCT-BIO cantilever was measured against a glass cover slide, and the spring constant was determined by thermal tuning. Force-indentation curves were fitted against a Sneddon indentation model (cone indenting infinite half-space) to obtain elastic moduli [14].

**Immunoblotting**

Electrophoresis was performed using NuPAGE 4%-12% Bis-Tris Gels, and transfer to PVDF membranes for Western blotting was done in a tank blotting cell for 3 hours on ice. Primary antibody dilutions were 1:1,000. Horseradish-peroxidase conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) were used at 1:100,000 dilutions. Quantification was performed by imaging SuperSignal West Dura ECL substrate (Thermo-Fisher) in a Kodak Bio-Rad imager. Coimmunoprecipitation assays were prepared using a commercial kit (Pierce Classic Immunoprecipitation Kit) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cell lysates were pre-cleared against an agarose control resin, then incubated with 1% anti-β-catenin antibody (BD Biosciences, San Jose, California, USA, Product #610154) overnight. Antibody complexes were captured using a protein A/G resin and eluted in NuPage LDS sample buffer (Life Technologies).

**Immunofluorescence**

Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes. After washing thoroughly with PBS, cells were permeabilized and blocked with 0.3% Triton-X and 5% goat serum (room temperature, 1 hour). Thereafter, samples were incubated with primary antibodies for 24 hours at 4°C. After several washes, samples were incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature. After two final washes, DAPI was added as a nuclear marker. Primary antibodies used were βIII-tubulin (Covance MBS-435P, Princeton, New Jersey, USA), GFAP (Abcam 7260, Cambridge, UK), Yes-associated protein (YAP; Cell Signaling, Danvers, Massachusetts, USA, Product #4912), β-catenin (BD 610154). Secondary antibodies from Life Technologies were obtained for the appropriate species conjugated with Alexa-488 and -546 dyes.

**Viral Vectors**

The rat YAP cDNA sequence was synthesized by IDT (Coralville, Iowa, USA) and inserted into a pCLIP retroviral vector [4]. β-catenin reporter constructs (7xTFP) were used as...
described previously [15]. shRNA against YAP constructs (pKO.1 vector system) were also obtained from Addgene. In all three cases, cell lines were established by selection with 1 µg/ml puromycin for 48 hours and 0.6 µg/ml puromycin thereafter.

YAP E66A and YAP S94A were generated via Quickchange PCR. YAP knockdown by shRNA was confirmed by Western blot (Supporting Information Fig. S3), and an 85% reduction of expression levels could be achieved in the high multiplicity of infection case.

Luciferase Assay

Cells were transfected with a 7xTCF luciferase reporter construct [16]. 10^5 cells per condition were lysed in 20 µl of lysis buffer (Promega, Sunnyvale, California, USA), mixed with 100 µl of Luciferase Assay substrate (Promega), and immediately measured in a luminometer (Promega TD 20/20).

RESULTS

As an initial, motivating study, we conducted serial adhesion experiments in which NSCs were first cultured on polyacrylamide (PA) ECMs of a given stiffness under media conditions that give rise to a mixture of neurons and glia (i.e., mixed differentiation—1 µM retinoic acid and 1% fetal bovine serum [10]), and then dissociated and reseeded on an ECM of a different stiffness. If NSCs were re-seeded onto a stiff ECM (72 kPa, 10% acrylamide and 0.3% bis) more than 3 days after initially being seeded on a soft ECM (0.7 kPa, 4% acrylamide and 0.05% bis), the downstream lineage distribution matched that of the cells cultured on soft substrates for the entire time course. These results began to bracket a critical age distributions characteristic of a soft ECM phenotype (i.e., stiff ECMs and then re-seeded onto soft ECMs exhibited linear tendency, reversible stiffness modulation over a relevant stiffness range by the level of bis-PA cross links but did not liquefy upon heating (Supporting Information Fig. S2b). The material is analogously stable to addition of excess release strand. For DNA-PA gels crosslinked solely by oligonucleotide hybridization, shear rheometry while heating above the melting temperature of the DNA crosslinks (Supporting Information Fig. S2a, S2b, S2c) led to liquefaction above 70°C (Supporting Information Fig. S2a), indicated by the crossover of the storage modulus and the loss modulus. In contrast, gels with the background DNA-bis-PA crosslinking softened to a minimal level set by the level of bis-PA cross links but did not liquefy upon heating (Supporting Information Fig. S2b). The material is analogously stable to addition of excess release strand.

DNA-bis-PA gels tuned for reversible switching over the relevant NSC mechanosensitive range [3, 4] of 0.3-3 kPa (DNA-bis-PA) were synthesized and conjugated with laminin to facilitate cell adhesion. Laminin conjugation for all gels was conducted with reaction of the surface with 50 mg/ml full length laminin, as previously published [4].

We therefore engineered a PA ECM system based on prior PA hydrogels in which stiffness can be reversibly manipulated with oligonucleotide-based crosslinks [11, 20, 21] (Fig. 1A). Two distinct, acrydite-functionalized DNA-oligonucleotides (“sidearms”) are copolymerized into a PA hydrogel, and subsequent addition of a soluble linker DNA strand (L) containing sequences complementary to the sidearms hybridizes with two sidearms and thereby adds additional crosslinks to stiffen the gel. Furthermore, the L strand also contains a “toehold” region that binds to neither of the sidearms, and thus subsequent addition of a release strand (R) that is fully complementary to L (including the toehold) can competitively hybridize with L to remove crosslinks and soften the gel (Fig. 1A). A further, critical innovation in this system is that DNA crosslinks are formed via incubation of the two acrydite-functionalized DNA oligonucleotides with the linker strand to allow hybridization before their copolymerization into hydrogel. This enables substantially higher control over DNA crosslink concentrations than prior work and a much greater range of possible stiffness values [20, 21].

The elastic behavior of a DNA-bis crosslinked gel was characterized by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and parallel plate rheology under various L and R strand conditions (Fig. 1B, 1D, Supporting Information Table 1). Addition of L to fully crosslinked gels led to an onset of stiffening within 2 hours (Fig. 1E), and the switch was complete within 9 hours, a timescale compatible with cell differentiation and other processes. Likewise, addition of the release strand R to initially stiff gels led to softening, which is a slightly slower process but still finishes by 9 hours. Finally, reversal of these mechanical switching steps enabled reversion to the original material properties within the same time frame (Fig. 1B, 1D). Another feature that enables investigation of many stiffness regimes was the additional use of “background” covalent bis-acrylamide crosslinks (i.e., DNA-bis-PA), which allows the material’s dynamic range to span many starting stiffness setpoints and prevents material liquefaction upon reversal of DNA crosslinks. For DNA-PA gels crosslinked solely by oligonucleotide hybridization, shear rheometry while heating above the melting temperature of the DNA crosslinks (Supporting Information Fig. S2a, S2b, S2c) led to liquefaction above 70°C (Supporting Information Fig. S2a), indicated by the crossover of the storage modulus and the loss modulus. In contrast, gels with the background DNA-bis-PA crosslinking softened to a minimal level set by the level of bis-PA cross links but did not liquefy upon heating (Supporting Information Fig. S2b). The material is analogously stable to addition of excess release strand.
mixed differentiation conditions, softened between 1 and 5 days later by addition of the R strand, and analyzed for lineage distributions on day 6 (Fig. 2A). The degree of neurogenesis depended strongly on the time at which the ECM was softened, with switching at earlier time points favoring neurogenesis and later time points not permitting it. Astrocytic differentiation within this relatively soft stiffness range was comparatively stiffness-insensitive, consistent with our prior results [3, 4]. Finally, a “point of no return” was observed at approximately 3 days, since materials softened after this time supported similar, lower levels of neurogenesis (<30%) as materials that were maintained at stiff values throughout.

In such experiments involving a single, unidirectional change in stiffness, it is unclear whether the mechanical instruction of cell fate depends on the total time a differentiating cell is exposed to a given stiffness, the time point at which the stiffness is changed, or both. To distinguish among these possibilities, we took advantage of the reversibility of this material and performed stiffness and softness “pulse” experiments in which we were able to either transiently soften stiff gels by sequential addition of R and later L, or stiffen soft gels by addition of L and later R, at a range of times from 1 to 5 days after onset of differentiation (Fig. 2B). We found more β-III tubulin+ cells on the substrates that were soft within a 12-36 hour window versus later time windows, and less neuronal differentiation occurred when cells were exposed to stiff ECMs within this window. These results thus revealed that cells are most mechanosensitive and plastic
during their first 12 to 36 hours of differentiation and become insensitive to stiffness changes (in either direction) once this mechanosensitive time window closes, narrowing the window significantly from our previous estimate of \( \approx 3 \) days.

The existence of a critical time window for mechanosensitive NSC differentiation raises the intriguing question of what molecular mechanisms are activated during this window and how they coordinate with signals traditionally understood to control NSC neurogenesis. The transcriptional coactivator YAP has previously been implicated as a regulator of a range of mechanosensitive behaviors, including mesenchymal stem cell differentiation, \[18\], where it functions as a molecular rheostat \[9\]. We first investigated whether total intracellular YAP levels depended on substrate stiffness and found levels substantially higher on stiff (75 kPa) versus soft (700 Pa) gels, in particular during the first 48 hours of differentiation (Fig. 3A, 3B).

Given that matrix stiffness strongly regulates YAP expression, we next asked whether YAP may play a causal role in stiffness-mediated NSC lineage commitment. To do this, we manipulated YAP levels by generating and expressing a YAP-GFP fusion construct (Supporting Information Fig. S3). First, under the same soft gel (0.7 kPa) differentiation conditions that had earlier supported robust neurogenesis in control NSCs (Fig. 2C), constitutive overexpression of YAP-GFP reduced neurogenesis (Fig. 3E, 3G). In contrast, when we suppressed YAP by transducing NSCs with a lentiviral vector carrying a previously validated anti-YAP shRNA \[24\], neurogenesis was strongly promoted, even on very stiff (72 kPa) substrates (Fig. 3C, 3D, 3F, 3G, 3H, Supporting Information Fig. S3). Thus, YAP is both necessary and sufficient to suppress neurogenesis, and manipulation of YAP expression can override stiffness regulation of lineage commitment.

Intriguingly, the mechanosensitive time window of 12-36 hours identified using ECM stiffness pulses (Fig. 2B) correlates closely with the observed YAP expression dynamics on stiff surfaces (Fig. 3A, 3B). To determine whether dynamic modulation of ectopic YAP expression (to emulate the YAP profile observed on stiff surfaces) could phenocopy the impact of stiffness on differentiation, we used a tetracycline-regulated gene expression system \[25\] that we introduced into a retroviral vector to mirror our stiffening/softening experiments by introducing pulses of YAP expression at critical time points throughout the lineage commitment process. We found that YAP-GFP overexpression exerted a particularly strong impact on lineage commitment early in differentiation (Fig. 3E, 3G). Specifically, YAP-GFP expression during day 1, day 2, or both days reduced neurogenesis to similarly low levels as if YAP-GFP were expressed during the whole 6-day differentiation window. These experiments implicate the same 12-36 hour...
window identified by both endogenous YAP expression in cells on a stiff substrate (Fig. 3B) and stiffness pulses introduced using the reversibly switchable gels (Fig. 2B).

With the knowledge that YAP is necessary and sufficient for mechanosensitive suppression of neurogenesis (Fig. 3), we next asked what mechanism drives this behavior. An obvious
possibility based on previous studies with mesenchymal stem cells [18] would be that stiffness drives the nuclear translocation of YAP, which in turn may contribute to lineage commitment through YAP/TEAD coregulation of gene expression. Intriguingly, however, when we examined YAP localization via immunofluorescence, we did not observe differences in nucleo-cytoplasmic distribution as a function of matrix stiffness (Fig. 3I). Since YAP is regulated not only by expression levels and nuclear shuttling [18] but also by cytosolic interactions [26], we hypothesized that YAP could conceivably be functioning by interfacing with the transcriptional activity of other important signaling pathways. We thus investigated whether YAP could interact with effectors of neurogenesis. β-catenin is a critical component of the Wnt signaling pathway that plays critical roles in organismal development, stem cell differentiation, and cancer [15, 27–29], and we recently found that β-catenin is activated downstream of ephrin signaling to induce NSC differentiation [29]. Upon upstream signal activation, this protein translocates to the nucleus, where it acts as a transcriptional coactivator of target genes, including the proneuronal transcription factor NeuroD1 in NSCs [30]. Interestingly, β-catenin has also been reported to bind to YAP within the Hippo signaling pathway, either directly or through coassociation with the β-catenin destruction complex [16, 26]. Thus, we hypothesized that YAP may sequester and thereby reduce β-catenin activity.

To investigate this possibility, we first asked if YAP expression had an effect on β-catenin transcriptional activity. Expression of a 7xTCF luciferase reporter [31] showed that overexpression of YAP yielded a sharp reduction in β-catenin transcriptional activity (Fig. 4A.) Addition of the GSK-3β inhibitor CHIR99021, which inhibits degradation of β-catenin and thereby potentiates its downstream signaling, significantly enhanced neuronal differentiation, and even rescued this differentiation in YAP-GFP overexpressing NSCs (Fig. 4B, 4C).

The above results are consistent with a model in which YAP antagonizes β-catenin to suppress neural lineage commitment. To investigate whether these two molecules biochemically associate within NSCs, either by direct binding [26] or mutual association for example to Axin and the β-catenin destruction complex [26], we performed commmunoprecipitation of β-catenin and YAP during the lineage commitment process (Fig. 4D). Pulldown of β-catenin and probing for YAP showed association between these two molecules on both very soft (200 Pa) and stiff (72 kPa) substrates. To investigate the functional consequences of YAP association with β-catenin, we performed loss-of-function studies in which we overexpressed a YAP mutant [24, 26] that lacks the ability to associate with β-catenin (YAP-E66A). In parallel, a YAP mutant unable to bind TEAD proteins (YAP-S94A) [26] was investigated to assess the direct transcriptional role of YAP. Interestingly, the YAP-E66A mutant, whose interaction with β-catenin is ablated, exhibited similar, high neurogenesis on soft substrates as control cells not expressing YAP (Fig. 4E, 4G). In contrast, YAP-S94A overexpressing cells exhibited similar, low levels of neuronal differentiation as NSCs overexpressing wild type YAP (Fig. 4F, 4G). This result indicates that YAP’s β-catenin binding activity plays a much stronger functional role in NSC mechanoregulation than YAP’s transcriptional coregulatory activity. This is fundamentally distinct both from previous observations in MSCs and the nuclear and TEAD-mediated mechanism of action classically portrayed in the Hippo pathway. Therefore, we propose a model wherein matrix stiffness controls neurogenesis by regulating a balance between pro-neurogenic β-catenin activity and anti-neurogenic YAP activity, an antagonism that depends primarily on a direct binding interaction between β-catenin and YAP (Fig. 4H).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have identified a 12-36 hour mechanosensitive time window during which NSC lineage commitment is maximally receptive to ECM stiffness inputs. In particular, by leveraging a material platform in which stiffness can be dynamically modulated, we found that exposure to a transient stiffness pulse from 12 to 36 hours was sufficient to suppress neurogenesis on soft matrices, and conversely a “softness pulse” during this same time window rescued neurogenesis on stiff matrices. Furthermore, we identified signaling events that mediate the impact of these stiffness cues on stem cell differentiation. Endogenous expression of the transcriptional coactivator YAP peaked during the 12-36 hour time window, and ectopic, pulsed overexpression of YAP during this window was sufficient to override soft matrix cues and thereby block neuronal differentiation. However, counter to the proposed model of YAP regulation in stiffness-dependent differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells, stiffness did not lead to nuclear versus cytoplasmic partitioning of YAP. Furthermore, YAP coprecipitated with the pro-neurogenic effector β-catenin, and disruption of this interaction reversed YAP-mediated suppression of neurogenesis. Analogously, pharmacological activation of β-catenin restored NSC neurogenic lineage commitment even with YAP overexpression. Our results therefore support a model in which matrix stiffness acts during a critical time window to regulate a balance between Wnt/β-catenin versus YAP signaling, whereby YAP antagonizes the neurogenic effects of β-catenin through a binding interaction. This model is compatible with direct binding and sequestration of β-catenin [24], or suppression of β-catenin through association of both of these proteins with Axin within the β-catenin destruction complex [16, 32]. Further resolution of the precise mechanism of this interaction, and of the temporal dynamics of the balance between YAP and β-catenin during the critical time window, represent intriguing future possibilities for investigation.

A critical innovation and enabling technology in this study was the utilization of bis-acrylamide/oligonucleotide PA hydrogels in which stiffness could be dynamically and reversibly modulated. The underlying covalent crosslinks provide a basal structural stability (also reducing shrinking/swelling), on top of which the fully reversible DNA hybridization crosslinks can dynamically and reversibly modulate stiffness on a timescale that enables investigation of stem cell lineage commitment (Fig. 1E). Hydrogel materials in which stiffness can either be irreversibly increased [8] or decreased [7] using photoirradiation have been previously employed to investigate stem cell lineage commitment; however, a two-way mechanical switch in which stiffness may repeatedly increased and/or decreased was a key advance that enabled us to define a critical window during which lineage commitment is maximally sensitive to mechanical inputs. Our work also suggests that the specific temporal window of exposure to a defined stiffness cue beginning and ending at specific
times, rather than the duration of exposure, governs lineage decisions. Stiffness step changes that occurred before the window opened (<24 hours after seeding) did not bias differentiation strongly, but pulsed stiffnesses of the same duration (24 hours) inside of the window strongly influenced fate distributions. Isolation of this defined temporal window

Figure 4. YAP interacted with β-catenin to bias stiffness-mediated NSC lineage commitment. (A): YAP-GFP decreased β-catenin transcriptional activity. The activity of a β-catenin responsive promoter-reporter was significantly reduced in YAP-GFP cells compared to control cells (n = 3, p < 0.01 by t-test). (B, C): In control cells (B), YAP-GFP cells did not stain positive for βIII-tubulin, but GSK3β inhibition restored neural differentiation in some YAP-GFP cells (C). Yellow stars indicate cells that stain positive for both YAP-GFP and βIII-tubulin. (D): YAP and β-catenin interact in differentiating NSCs. Coimmunoprecipitation with a β-catenin antibody and probing with a YAP antibody showed that on both 300 Pa (soft) and 72 kPa (stiff) samples, YAP coprecipitated with β-catenin (control: no β-catenin antibody on stiff surface). (E, F): Binding mutants of YAP-GFP showed differentiation rescue of neurogenesis on soft (200 Pa) substrates. A YAP mutant unable to bind β-catenin (E66A) (E) showed the same level of neuronal differentiation as naive, non-YAP expressing cells, compared to suppressed neurogenesis by YAP-GFP (compare with B). Numerous cells stained positive for both βIII-tubulin and E66A YAP-GFP; in contrast, in the control YAP-GFP case there were no cells that stained positive for both βIII-tubulin and GFP. The S94A YAP mutant that lacked TEAD binding (F) suppressed neurogenesis to the same extent as wild type YAP-GFP (compare B). (G): Quantification of levels of neurogenesis in the naive, E66A, S94A, and YAP-GFP cases. Naive and E66A were significantly different from S94A and YAP-GFP cases on soft substrates (200 Pa) (n = 3 gels, p < 0.005 by 1-way ANOVA). (H): Schematic of the proposed effect of substrate stiffness on NSC lineage commitment. On soft substrates, β-catenin drives transcription of neurogenesis effectors, such as NeuroD1. On stiff substrates, YAP levels are sufficiently high to sequester and inhibit available β-catenin, thereby preventing β-catenin dependent transcription. Abbreviations: ECM, extracellular matrix; NSC, neural stem cell; Yes-associated protein.
enabled us to connect these changes with time-dependent signaling events and thus offer a mechanistic model for mechanosensitive differentiation. Importantly, these materials are uniquely well suited to interrogate a low-stiffness regime (0.1–10 kPa), which corresponds well to the elasticity of hippocampal tissue [12] and may be of value for investigating lineage commitment in other soft tissues. With additional tuning to reduce DNA exclusion and to promote DNA (e.g., shortened oligos or smaller gels) diffusion into the gel, stiffer regimes could even further broaden the range of stem cell and mechanobiology investigations enabled by this system.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we have shown that NSCs are maximally sensitive to mechanical inputs from 12–36 hours after the introduction of soluble differentiation cues, and become insensitive to further changes in the elastic modulus of their microenvironment after this window closes. These findings were enabled by further innovation of a polyacrylamide gel whose stiffness can be dynamically and reversibly controlled by addition of DNA oligonucleotides. We subsequently correlated this time window with increased expression of the transcriptional co-activator YAP, and implicated YAP as the messenger of mechanical cues from the extracellular matrix. Overexpression of YAP specifically during this time window was sufficient to override soft matrix cues and suppress neurogenesis, while silencing of YAP ablated the ability of stiff microenvironments to suppress neurogenesis. However, we observe that YAP does not exhibit mesenchymal-like changes in nuclear translocation in response to stiffness cues, and we link YAP’s capacity to block neuronal differentiation to an inhibitor interaction with β-catenin. Our data thus support a model where a protein-protein-level interaction between YAP and β-catenin independent of nuclear translocation is responsible for transducing mechanical cues to NSC differentiation programs. Our study thereby advances our mechanistic understanding of the temporal and proteome control of stem cell differentiation, as well as indicates possible regulatory interactions between Hippo and Wnt signaling.
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